62479 NAH 115

FROM:

ENRIGHT

99151**9**

NCR 35098

9 MARCH 44

ACTION:

COMDESLANT ... INCLANT ...

DEFERRED

GMP

INFO:

BUPERS...COMCORTDIV 17(BY HAND) ... DAO NY(BY HAND)

LT COMDR EDGAR CARL HOGLUND DEVG USNR THIS DATE ASSUMED COMMAND ENRIGHT (DE216) X

BUPERS - COMINGH - COG

OP-91...OP-95...23...19...19С...29...29R...29М...FILE

RX

RESTRICTED

PANY-NCR-4

For information about this dispatch call Branch 5295 (Room 3628)

Refer to Ploy 00/39915-6 Serial UNITED STATES FLEET
DESTROYERS, ATLANTIC FLEET

Administrative Handling

Care of Fleet Post Office, 55 New York, N. Y.,

CONFIDENTIAL.

MAY 2 4 1944

Property Victassiff Mander Destroyers, U.S. Atlantic Fleet.
To Personnel.

Officer Dicipline
Subject: Deta

Detachment of Lieute ent Commander E. Carl Moglund. DE-V(G). O.S.N.R., from Duty in U.S.S. ENRIGHT (DE216).

- 1. On 13 April, 1944, the U.S.S. ENRIGHT collided with the Portuguese merchant vessel S. THOME in the North Atlantic. As a result of this collision, there was extensive damage to these two vessels, personnel from the U.S.S. EMRIGHT were injured and Carl Augustus Mims, shipfitter third class, V-6, U.S. Naval Reserve, is missing under circumstances which lead to the presumption that he is dead.
- 2. In reviewing the investigation held in the foregoing case, Commander Destroyers, U.S. Atlantic Fleet, stated that the Commanding Officer of the U.S.S. ENRIGHT was aware for at least thirty minutes that his ship was proceeding on a collision course with an unidentified craft, and when ordered to divert this craft, he maintained this course until collision was inevitable. Commander Destroyers, U.S. Atlantic Fleet, further noted that there was no indication of an alert organization in the U.S.S. ATRIGHT to maintain an accurate plot, nor that such a plot was continuously maintained.
- J. For the above reasons, Commander Destroyers, U.S. Atlantic Fleet, addressed a letter of reprimand to Lieutenant Commander E. Carl Hoglund, DE-V(G), U.S. Naval Reserve. It is considered that normally trial of Lieutenant Commander Hogland by general court martial would be warranted.
- 4. For the above reasons, it is requested that Lieutenant Commander I. Carl Hoglund, DE-V(G), U.S. Maval Reserve, be detached from command of the U.S.S.

CORRESPONDENCE JAORES

cc: Cinclant.

Lt.Cdr. W.C. Hoglund, DE-V(G), USIN.

1

Refer to the Rendrits - 5

UNITED STATES FLEET DESTROYERS, ATLANTIC FLEET

O COMPTOSHILL

Care of Fleet Post Office, New York, N. Y.

TO: UN CONFIDENTIAL

MAY 2 6 1944

Onksut

Commander Destroyers, U.S. Atlantic Fleet. Lieutenant Commander E. Carl Hoglund.

DE-V(O), N.S. Naval Reserve.

Via :

Commander Escort Division SEVENTREN.

Subject:

Letter of Reprimend.

Reference:

(a) General Order No. 52.

- 1. At approximately 0905, 16 April, 1944, the U.S.S. HARIOUT (DERIG), of which you were Commanding Officer, collided with the Portuguese merchant vessel S. THOME in the North Atlantic. As a result of this collision there was extensive demage to these two vessels, personnel from the U.S.S. HARIOUT were injured and Carl Augustus Mims, shipfitter third class, V-6, U.S. Naval Reserve, is missing under circumstances which lead to the presumption that he is dead.
- 2. The Board of Investigation, convened in this case, clearly discloses that:
 - (a) The Commanding Officer of the 7.5.5.
 EVELUAT was aware for at least thirty minutes that his ship was proceeding on a collision course with an unidentified craft, and when ordered to divert this craft, he maintained this course until collision was inevitable.
 - (b) There is no indication of an alert organization in the U.S.S. ANRIGHT to maintain an accurate plot, nor that such a plot was continuously maintained.
- 3. In reviewing this case, Commander Destroyers, U.S. Atlantic Fleet, stated that you should normally be tried by general court martial. However, owing to the exigencies of war, the convening of a general court martial composed of a sufficient number of officers would seriously interfere with the scheduled operations. For your failure

Refer to MIJ/76, 113-6

UNITED STATES FLEET DESTROYERS, ATLANTIC FLEET

62053

Poort STIAL CONTENT OF THE

Care of Fleet Post Office, New York, N. Y.,

MAY 2 6 1944

Ma Jours

Letter of Reprisend.

Officer Dicipline

to carry out your duties as Commanding Officer on this occasion, you are hereby reprisended.

- 4. Commander Destroyers, U.S. Atlantic Fleet, will request the Chief of Naval Personnel to relieve you of cormand of the U.S.S. SERIORT.
- 5. Commander Recort Division SEVERTHEN is directed to attach a copy of this letter of reprisend, as well as the statement required by reference (a), to the next Report of Fitness of Lieutenent Commander E. Carl Hoglund, DB-V(4), U.S. Baval Reserve.

J. CARY JOYAS

dd: Bufers. Cinolent. U. S. S. SCOTT (DE-214)

CCD17/P13 CONFIDENTIAL To: Serial 042

Flagshir, c/o Fleet Post Office New York, New York

PIRST ENDORSEMENT to DesLant ltr. P13/00/FF13-6, Serial 02053, dated 26 May 1944.

30 May 1944

From:

Commander Escort Division SEVENTEEN.

To:

Lieutenant Commander E. Carl Hoglund, DE-V(C), USNR.

Subject:

Letter of Reprimend.

1. Forwarded.

A. WILDNER

To Facilitate Administrative Handling Classification changed from:

c/o Fleet Fost Office, New York, N. I.

12 June 1944.

To:

FROM:

Commanding Manager.
Commander Escort Division Seventeen. TO:

SUBJ.: Statement of Lt. Comdr. E.C. HOGLUND, DE-V(G), USMR.

(a) P13/00/FF13-6 Ser. No. 02053. REF.:

In accordance with reference (a), submitted herewith is Statement of Lieutenant-Commander E. C. Hoglund, DE-V(G) USNR, for enclosure in next fitness report.

FINISHED HILL PERS 120 EILMESS BEFORLS JACKEL

Administrative Qualling Classification changed

c/o Fleet Post Office. New York, N. Y.

12 June 1944.

FROM: TO:

Commanding Officers 17130 Chief of Naval Personnel.

VIA:

Commander Escort Division Seventeen.

Statement Complying with General Order No. 62. SUBJ .:

REF .:

(a) DesLant Conf. Ltr. Pl3/00/FF13-6 Ser. 02053, dated 26 May 1944.

(b) Record of Proceedings of a Board of Investigation Convened at U.S. Navy Yard, N. Y., 18 April 1944.

1. Noted herewith is statement made by the Commanding Officer of the USS ENRIGHT (DE 216) before Board of Investigation under reference (b). The facts stated therein have been established by testimony, and the statement is part of the official record of that board:

"The contact, which eventually proved to be the S.THOME and which collided with this vessel, was first picked up by radar at 122 miles about 25 minutes before the collision. It was tracked and held under close observation during the whole period. It was known that the USS WEBER had been ordered to intercept and divert it, which she was endeavoring to do. During the whole period its bearing remained steady and was closing at about 800 yards per minute, while this vessel maintained its station in the screen. When the range closed to 4000 yards or less, or about four minutes from time of collision, the following order was received: "Do not let the contact get between you and the convoy." This called for immediate and positive action. The visibility of 500 yards or less meant that this vessel must close the contact to 500 yards, make sight contact and divert it before it reached the nearest ship in the convoy, 3000 yards from the ENRIGHT'S present position. Another course of action was to approach the contact cautiously rather than from ahead, thereby avoiding a collision, but permitting the contact to get between the ENRIGHT and the convoy, running the risk of having the contact in collision with ships of the convoy.



U. S. S. ENRIGHT (DE216)
Chief of Naval Personnellamms services dandler. Classic acca manged 12 June 1944.

- Page -2

CONFIDENTIAL

To SSIFIE

320 ars 320

The former alternative was chosen; this vessel immediately left the screen and closed the contact on a collision course. About four minutes later the contact appeared out of the fog less than 500 yards distant. Up to this instant a collision could have been avoided had I so A full astern bell and right full rudder was ordered by the Executive Officer, who was on the flying bridge. This order was immediately countermanded by me and changed to full speed ahead, left full rudder. Our stern started to swing away from the S. THOME, which bore down rapidly, hitting this vessel about 120 feet from the stern at an angle of about 200.

It is my opinion that if the engines continued to back, the S. THOME would have nit near the bridge, resulting in a far more serious collision and perhaps cutting the ENRIGHT in half. Even after sighting, a collision might have been avoided had not the speed of the vessel been checked momentarily. The course of the S. THOME was such as to carry it straight into the convoy, where collision far more serious might have occurred. It is believed that the ENRICHT in risking collision and taking a glancing blow, but diverting the S. THOME, made the proper decision".

> s/ E. C. HOGLUND Lt. Comdr., USNR.

- 2. In defense of the action taken by the Commanding Officer of the ENRIGHT, resulting in collision, the following facts and opinions are set forth:
 - (a) A collision course was maintained for the following reasons:
 - 1. Before the order to divert was received, this ship was obliged to maintain its position in the screen.
 - 2. When the order to divert was received the ENRIGHT left its position in the screen, to close the oncoming vessel to visual range. In order to accomplish this, with visibility less than 500 yards, an approximate collision course had to be taken.

FINISHED FILL PERS 120 FITNESS REPORTS JAOKET

Chief of Naval Personnel Company - Page 33 - 12 June 1944.



- (b) The base course and speed of the S. THOME was correctly solved ten minutes after initial contact. Thereafter, its movements were kept under close surveillance by radar ranges and bearings about every half minute. These facts were brought out by testimony of witnesses.
- (c) The Commanding Officer of the ENRIGHT, with heavy odds against him, risked collision of his ship in carrying out a "military order". The decision to close the oncoming ship, with visibility less than 500 yards, was made on the premise that the order to divert it must be carried out at all costs.
- (d) In the opinion of the Commanding Officer of the EN-RIGHT, it is doubtful if any ship under similar circumstances could have successfully diverted the S. THOME without a collision. Noted herewith is Recommendation of Board of Investigation, Page 296, reference (b):
 - "1. That the Commanding Officer of USS ENRIGHT, Lieutenant-Commander E. Carl Hoglund, DE-V(S), U. S. Naval Reserve, be admonished for his Tailure to make known to the Escort Commander at an early time that he was on a collision course with the contact.
 - 2. That the Escort Commander be admonished for his failure to take positive action early enough to prevent a dangerous situation from developing, and that he be directed to require closer cooperation between escorts under his command."
 - s/ CLARENCE L. WINECOFF Captain, U. S. Navy, Senior Member.
 - s/ WILLIAM OUTERSON Commander, U. S. Navy, Member.
 - NORMAN J. SAMPSON Commander, U. S. Navy, Member.

FITN 288 REPORTS JACK

Thief of Maval Personnel

12 June 19 TIDENTIAL (O

(e) The Commanding Officer of the ENRICHT believed that the correct decision was made in the execution of the order to divert the oncoming vessel, notwithstanding the great risk involved. He deeply regrets the attendant loss of life, injury and damage. The utmost effort was exerted by himself and all hands to successfully accomplish the mission. When collision became imminent, proper action was taken to minimize damage, as well as subsequent action in keeping the ship afloat and bringing it safely into port.

E. C. HOGLUND

PUDERE I I DIE I 190 PUDI ACE RAPORTS I LOKAT

Page +4